Conversion of M. K. Govindan Nayar.

229. *Mr. J. John Kattakayam : Will the Government be pleased to state whether any improper action has been taken by their officers in connection with the conversion of one M. K. Govindan Nayar ?

Rao Sahib Dr. N. Kunjan Pillai: The matter is *sub-judice.*

Mr. M. N. Paramesvaran Pillai: Sir, a point of order. Under Rule 34 of the Legislative Rules, no question shall be asked on any matter under adjudication by a court of law having jurisdiction in Travancore. From the answer given, I find that this is a matter *sub judice.* I want to know why this question was permitted ?

President : At the time the President allowed the question, the matter may not have reached the *sub-judice* stage and the President may not have been in possession of the information at that time.

Mr. M. N. Paramesvaran Pillai : At the time the question was sent, it was under investigation stage.

President : That is what I said. The President was not aware at that time that it was *sub judice* or at a stage when it will be declared *sub judice.*

Mr. M. N. Paramesvaran Pillai : The answer to the interpellation is that the matter is *sub judice.* May I know from the member when this question was asked by the member and when this matter went up to the court of law ?

Mr. C. V. Chandrasekharan : A point of order. Is that question in order ?

Mr. M. N. Paramesvaran Pillai: I am not asking anything about the details of the matter. I asked when this question was sent and when the matter went to the court of law ?

President : That is to say for the purpose of determining how far the President can be absolved. Is that the reason for that question ? True ; true !

Next question, please.

K. P. Narayana Pillai, Jenmikaram Clerk, Todupuzha Taluk Office.

231. *Mr. J. John Kattakayam : Will the Government be pleased to state

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly